

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/003,065	11/02/2001	Danish Ali	GB 000160	4841
	7590 01/17/200 LLECTUAL PROPER		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 3001	l	WONG, LINDA		LINDA
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			V	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	10/003,065	ALI, DANISH	ALI, DANISH	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Linda Wong	2611		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH	IIS APPLICATION IN CON	NDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.		
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the foll places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in composition of time periods:</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an ame lotice of Appeal (with app	ndment, affidavit, or other evide eal fee) in compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the content of the period for reply expire later the content of the period for reply expire later the content of the period for reply expire later the period for reply expires on:	han SIX MONTHS from the ma	ailing date of the final rejection.		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(		IEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened s above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three montiferance patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amoun tatutory period for reply origina hs after the mailing date of the	t of the fee. The appropriate extension ily set in the final Office action; or (2) final rejection, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) by reduce any	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in con of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFF	R 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.	
3. 🔯 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	but prior to the date of fi	ling a brief, will not be entered	because	
(a) $oxtimes$ They raise new issues that would require further c	onsideration and/or searc	h (see NOTE below);		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	• •	aterially reducing or simplifying	the issues for	
appeal; and/or		and the second of the second o	,	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	• •	of finally rejected claims.		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.	, ,,		(570) 000	
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>		of Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be	· -	a separate, timely filed amendm	nent canceling	
the non-allowable claim(s).				
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr</li> </ol>			explanation of	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	•			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected:				

## AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

11. 

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. 
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: \_\_\_\_

**KEVIN KIM** 

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicant has amended claim 1, wherein claim 1 includes limitaiton "the filtered pilot code further having out-of-band harmonics removed". Such amendment appears to require further consideration. The examiner has performed a preliminary review of the amended claim. The new limitaiotn as stated above appears to pertain to an inherent functionality of a filter. Given out-of-band harmonics are found outside the bandwidth of the filter, the filter will inherently output the portions of the signal falling within the bandwidth of the filter. Thus, out-of-band harmonics are inherently removed. Upon a preliminary review, the new limitation does not further limit the claim.